

BARRY Celia

From: BARRY Celia
Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2009 8:50 AM
To: DWYER Bill J; FLEENOR Bill A; STEWART Faye H; SORENSON Pete; HANDY Rob M
Cc: CORTRIGHT Bob (OR); 'Nichols, Darren'; HAVIG Erik M (OR); SCHUETZ Petra; 'Whitman, Richard'; HALLYBURTON Rob (OR); COLE Terry D (OR); HANDY Rob M; MOORE ED (LCOG List); CLARK Andy; MOORE ED (LCOG List); MILLER Marsha A; LAIRD Matt P; VORHES Stephen L; SPARTZ Jeff R; SCHULZ Stephanie E; CHICKERING Sonny P (SMTP); SCHUESSLER Don; HOWE Kent; BERNARD Mark A; BAJRACHARYA Shashi
Subject: Coburg IAMP-Supplement 3

Please treat this material as Coburg IAMP Supplement 3.

FYI. After County Counsel advised County staff of errors in the legislative format of DLCD staff Mr. Moore's proposed language changes, which are contained in Supplementary Memo 2, Attachment 4, and after County staff advised Mr. Moore of the errors, he submitted the proposed changes below. I will not be able to review them prior to today's meeting, but will bring hard copies of this material to the work session. County staff's recommendation remains unchanged, i.e., that the IAMP document be adopted next week with no changes.

From: BARRY Celia
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 5:09 PM
To: HANDY Rob M; MOORE ED (LCOG List); CLARK Andy; BARRY Celia; MOORE ED (LCOG List); MILLER Marsha A; LAIRD Matt P; VORHES Stephen L
Cc: CORTRIGHT Bob (OR); 'Nichols, Darren'; HAVIG Erik M (OR); SCHUETZ Petra; 'Whitman, Richard'; HALLYBURTON Rob (OR); COLE Terry D (OR)
Subject: RE: Further option language needed for Coburg IAMP at BCC

Commissioner,

As clarification, the record must remain clear that Mr. Moore's comments are solely the product of DLCD. Not only was County staff entirely uninvolved in crafting them, other than to make DLCD staff aware of errors in their legislative format, but also, my staff recommendation is to adopt the IAMP next week without the DLCD proposed changes.
Thank you.

From: HANDY Rob M
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 3:29 PM
To: MOORE ED (LCOG List); CLARK Andy; BARRY Celia; MOORE ED (LCOG List); MILLER Marsha A; LAIRD Matt P; VORHES Stephen L
Cc: CORTRIGHT Bob (OR); Nichols, Darren; HAVIG Erik M (OR); SCHUETZ Petra; Whitman, Richard; HALLYBURTON Rob (OR); COLE Terry D (OR)
Subject: RE: Further option language needed for Coburg IAMP at BCC

Andy and Steve,

Please draft me a motion that addresses, reflects and points to these further modifications that Mr. Moore has worked on in collaboration with Ms. Barry. I'd like to have this draft motion before the start of Board meetings at 9 AM Wednesday, that I may get copy of language to other Commissioners for their reflection.

Thank you all,

09/17/2009

-Rob

lane county:

working
for you

Rob Handy

Lane County Commissioner

Public Service Building
125 East Eighth Avenue
Eugene, Oregon 97401
(541) 682-4203 phone
(541) 682-4616 fax

Rob.Handy@co.lane.or.us

All communication with a Lane County Commissioner becomes part of the public record and is not confidential. Please keep this in mind in all communications.

From: Moore, Ed W [mailto:ed.w.moore@state.or.us]

Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 1:58 PM

To: CLARK Andy; BARRY Celia; MOORE ED (LCOG List); MILLER Marsha A; LAIRD Matt P; HANDY Rob M; VORHES Stephen L

Cc: CORTRIGHT Bob (OR); Nichols, Darren; HAVIG Erik M (OR); SCHUETZ Petra; Whitman, Richard; HALLYBURTON Rob (OR); COLE Terry D (OR)

Subject: RE: Further option language needed for Coburg IAMP at BCC

Commissioner Handy,

First, thank you for keeping me in the loop regarding the Coburg I-5 Interchange IAMP discussion. I am looking forward to working with the Commission and staff at tomorrow's work session to address concerns with the IAMP document.

From your previous e-mails, I know that you are referencing my letter regarding some language changes to the IAMP document to address those concerns. In that vein, Celia Berry sent an e-mail this morning indicating that County Counsel believes my recommendations contains a typo (actually missing text from the original text in the IAMP document) that may make the recommended clearer.

After reviewing my letter and talking with Ms. Berry, I believe I have resolved identified the issues. Consequently, I would recommend, if you choose to move forward with DLC's recommended changes to the IAMP, the following modifications:

Change our recommended language for **Page 1-5, 1st paragraph** of the IAMP to say –

"It is not the ~~primary~~ function of the Coburg/I-5 interchange to serve additional or expanded commercial ~~and uses~~ ~~(development within the interchange management area beyond ~~the~~ those uses currently allowed on lands currently in the existing ~~zoned potential~~) or regional commercial development Industrial and Highway Commercial zones."~~

(This incorporates the missing original text from the IAMP document)

For comparison purposes, the original recommendation in our letter read:

"It is not the ~~primary~~ function of the Coburg/I-5 interchange to serve additional or expanded commercial development within the interchange management area beyond ~~the~~ those uses currently allowed on lands currently in the existing Industrial and Highway Commercial zones ~~d potential or regional commercial development~~"

Change our recommended language for **Page 6-2, Section 6.1.2 IAMP Policies and Actions**, revise Policy #5 to say –

09/17/2009

If the City expands its urban growth boundary and updates its comprehensive plan and zoning to fully accommodate its adopted population and employment forecasts after construction of the interchange and local access and circulation improvements described herein as the Recommended Alternative (Alternative B), OOOT will work with the City and Lane County to amend the IAMP, as necessary, to ~~recognize and support~~ those updates provided those updates are consistent with the planned function of the interchange as stated in the Goals and objectives of the IAMP. This amendment shall include adjustment of the Alternative Mobility Standards at the interchange ramps to accommodate the additional growth, but not to exceed the mobility standards in the OHP that apply to the Coburg/I-5 interchange (ramp terminal V/C ≤ 0.8). OOOT will also work with the County to modify the alternative mobility standards set for the Pearl Street/Coburg Industrial Way intersection. (Highlighted text change for clarity. This also incorporates the omitted text from the IAMP document related to alternate mobility standard)

For comparison purposes, the original recommendation in our letter read:

If the City expands its urban growth boundary and updates its comprehensive plan and zoning to fully accommodate its adopted population and employment forecasts after construction of the interchange and local access and circulation improvements described herein as the Recommended Alternative (Alternative B), OOOT will work with the City and Lane County to amend the IAMP, as necessary, to ~~recognize and support~~ those updates provided those updates are consistent with the planned function of the interchange as stated in the Goals and objectives of the IAMP...

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding the proposed modification.

Respectfully,

Ed

Ed Moore, AICP
SWV Regional Representative
Dept. Land Conservation and Development
So. Willamette Valley Regional Office
644 A Street
Springfield, OR 97478
971.239.9453

From: HANDY Rob M [Rob.HANDY@co.lane.or.us]
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 9:35
To: BARRY Celia; CLARK Andy; VORHES Stephen L; MILLER Marsha A; LAIRD Matt P; MOORE ED (LCOG List)
Subject: RE: Further option language needed for Coburg IAMP at BCC

Andy and Steve,

Celia generously includes a draft motion below. You have the memo from Ed Moore from DLCD that is referenced.

In your view, will this draft motion fully get at my intent to address all of the issues raised by Mr. Moore in his memo? If not, please advise further on modification to, and craft an additional draft motion.

Thanks,

-Rob

09/17/2009

C' Ed Moore
C' Marsha Miller
C' Celia Barry
C' Matt Laird

lane county:

working
for you

Rob Handy

Lane County Commissioner
Public Service Building
125 East Eighth Avenue
Eugene, Oregon 97401
(541) 682-4203 phone
(541) 682-4616 fax
Rob.Handy@co.lane.or.us

*All communication with a Lane County Commissioner becomes part of the public record and is not confidential.
Please keep this in mind in all communications.*

From: BARRY Celia
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2009 2:36 PM
To: HANDY Rob M
Cc: SPARTZ Jeff R; DWYER Bill J; STEWART Faye H; FLEENOR Bill A; SORENSON Pete; MILLER Marsha A; LAIRD Matt P; SCHULZ Stephanie E; CLARK Andy; RICHARDSON Liane I; MOORE ED (LCOG List); 'COLE Terry D'; SCHUETZ Petra; SCHUESSLER Don; CHICKERING Sonny P (SMTP); CORTRIGHT Bob (OR); CRAWFORD Savannah (ODOT)
Subject: RE: Further option language needed for Coburg IAMP at BCC

Commissioner,
There is no need for additional materials to address your request. Your motion language to accomplish what you indicate you want to accomplish is below in blue.

Celia Barry
LCPW Transportation Planning
541.682.6935

From: HANDY Rob M
Sent: Sunday, September 13, 2009 11:57 AM
To: SPARTZ Jeff R; DWYER Bill J; STEWART Faye H; FLEENOR Bill A; SORENSON Pete; BARRY Celia; MILLER Marsha A; LAIRD Matt P; SCHULZ Stephanie E; CLARK Andy; RICHARDSON Liane I; MOORE ED (LCOG List)
Subject: Further option language needed for Coburg IAMP at BCC

Matt and Celia,

I've read the packet and compared the new information to the Draft Coburg IAMP binder we were given last month.

I am aware of and sensitive to the City of Coburg's concerns about the timeliness of BCC action due to: a) potential earmark loss, and b) any BCC modifications would need to return to the Coburg City Council for their further consideration.

To that end, I am asking you to flesh out a more detailed Option 2 in the staff packet-- a proposed modification to the text in the IAMP.

09/17/2009

Mr. Ed Moore from DLCD has included a letter outlining potential modifications and amendments to the Plan language. I find those comments compelling and helpful.

Please bring us a motion that will fully incorporate all of the comments from Mr. Moore's memo into and throughout the plan, as well as a legislative format version of how and where all of those proposed modifications will appear in the plan text.

Your motion can state exactly that: [Move to direct staff to make revisions to the document that will fully incorporate all of the comments from Mr. Moore's August 28, 2009 letter as shown in the legislative changes proposed on page two, in the first four paragraphs of page 2 of the letter.](#)

I'm requesting that you get this to Commissioners and all cc'ed on this list before the end of the workday Monday, so that all parties will have time to review the materials before we begin a long day of meetings on Tuesday leading to our work session on the Coburg IAMP.

With your timely response, in addition to PW, LMD and DLCD being at the table on Tuesday, I am confident that our Board will have the ability to give timely and clear direction for the City of Coburg to be responsive to mutual Coburg and Lane County goals.

Thanks,

-Rob

C' Jeff Spartz
C' Liane Richardson, County Counsel
C' Andy Clark, County Counsel
C' Marsha Miller, PW
C' Stephanie Schulz, PW
C' Ed Moore, DLCD
C" County Commissioners