

		Strategic Considerations (a) through (c)											
Application Number	Applicant Name	Project Name / Description	Total Oregon ConnectOregon Funds Requested (\$)	(a) Project reduces transportation costs for Oregon businesses or improves access to jobs and sources of labor	(b) Project results in an economic benefit to this state	(c) Project is a critical link in Oregon's transportation system that will measurably improve utility and efficiency	(d) Ability of the applicant to fund the project from any source other than the Multimodal Transportation Fund	(e) Construction readiness: can begin construction before June 30, 2011	Total Points (a) through (e)	-Strategic Tiering considerations: thoroughly meets (a)-(c)?	Tiers: Tier 1: 35-40 Points; Tier 2: 27-34 Points; Tier 3: 16-26 Points; Tier 4: 01-15 Points	Rank (High-Medium-Low)	Priority (1=highest)
M20083	Port of Seaside	Wharf Repair and Waterfront Revitalization	\$ 1,748,352.00	10	9	10	0	5	34	Yes	2	H	1
R20174	Pacific Recycling Inc.	PRI Reload and Dismantle Facility	\$ 2,800,000.00	10	4	10	1	5	30	Meets (a),(c)	3	H	2
T20141	Lane Transit District	Gateway Park and Ride	\$ 3,235,360.00	7	6	8	0	5	26	Meets (c)	3	M	3
R20149	Fuel Logistics	Rail Terminal Pumping Station for Ethanol	\$ 693,028.80	8	6	8	3	5	30	Meets (a),(c)	3	M	4
A20110	City of Eugene Airport	New Consolidated Car Wash Facility	\$ 3,200,000.00	2	3	2	1	5	13	No	4	L	5

City of Eugene Airport - New Consolidated Car Wash Facility Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

### A-9 SORTING PROCESS TEMPLATE

On the following pages, a table is provided indicating which application questions relate to the identified consideration. A given question may relate to more than one consideration, and will appear under each relevant consideration.

Committee staff will be provided with an electronic document containing the following table for use during sorting.

Committee staff will record the results on the Review Matrix for presentation to the Committee during public meetings.

**Consideration (a) - Whether a proposed transportation project reduces transportation costs for Oregon businesses or improves access to jobs and sources of labor**

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
<p><b>* Items D1 and D2 are evaluated together with a shared point value and            Items D8 and D9 are evaluated together with a shared point value</b></p> <p><i>* To determine the score of questions with a shared point value, score each question separately, then select the highest of the two scores as the "appraiser's score."</i></p>				
D	1	Industrial or employments connections	* 3	0
D	2	Linking workers to jobs		
D	8	Efficiency and reliability of transportation	* 4	2
D	9	Safety		
D	10	Transportation Connections	3	0
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration "A"</b>			<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>
<p><b>Point System for D1, D2, and D10:</b>            0 – No positive benefit;            1 – Potential positive benefit;            2 – Likely positive benefit;            3 – Significant positive benefit.</p> <p><b>Point System for D8 and D9:</b>            0 – No positive impacts;            1 – Unlikely to make positive impacts;            2 – Potential positive impacts;            3 – Likely positive impacts;            4 – Significant positive impacts.</p>				
<b>COMMENTS</b>				

City of Eugene Airport - New Consolidated Car Wash Facility Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

<b>COMMENTS (Continued):</b>		
------------------------------	--	--

**Consideration (c) - Whether a proposed transportation project is a critical link connecting elements of Oregon’s transportation system that will measurably improve utilization and efficiency of the system.**

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser’s Score
* Items D1 and D3 are evaluated together with a shared point value				
D	1	Industrial or employments connections	0	* 3 0
D	3	Linking populations to medical care, social services, or shopping	0	
* Items D8 and D9 are evaluated together with a shared point value				
D	8	Efficiency and reliability of transportation system	2	* 4 2
D	9	Safety	0	
D	10	Transportation Connections	0	3 0
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “C”</b>			<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Point System for D1, D3, and D10:</b>		<b>Point System for D8 and D9:</b>		
0 – No positive benefit;		0 – No positive impact;		
1 – Potential positive benefit;		1 – Unlikely to make positive impact;		
2 – Likely positive benefits; and		2 – Potential positive benefits;		
3 – Significant positive benefits.		3 – Likely positive benefits; and		
		4 – Significant positive benefits		
* To determine the score of questions with a shared point value, score each question separately, then select the highest of the scores as the “appraiser’s score.”				
<b>COMMENT:</b>				

City of Eugene Airport - New Consolidated Car Wash Facility Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

**Consideration (d) - How much of the cost of a proposed transportation project can be borne by the applicant for the grant or loan from any source other than the Multimodal Transportation Fund.**

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
<b>Overmatch Criteria</b> <i>ConnectOregon</i> Total Project = Grant/Loan + 20% Match <b>Example:</b> \$100K + \$25K = \$125K = <i>ConnectOregon</i> Total Project				
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide &gt;20% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 30% match = \$125,000)	1	1
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide 40% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 40% match = \$166,666)	2	
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide 60% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 60% match = \$175,000)	3	
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide 80% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 40% match = \$200,000)	4	
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide &gt;80% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 90% match = \$211,111)	5	
		<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “D”</b>	5	1
<b>COMMENT:</b>				

City of Eugene Airport - New Consolidated Car Wash Facility Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

**Consideration (e) - Whether a proposed transportation project is ready for construction.**

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
<b>For this consideration, assume a grant execution date of September, 2011</b>				
C	4	Project is ready to start construction <b>6 months</b> after grant agreement execution.	5	5
C	4	Project is ready to start construction <b>12 months</b> after grant agreement execution.	2	
C	4	Project is ready to start construction <b>18 months</b> after grant agreement execution.	3	
		<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS - Consideration "E"</b>	5	5

**FINAL POINT CALCULATION**

	AVAILABLE POINTS	TOTAL SCORE
Consideration a -	Maximum 10 Points	2
Consideration b -	Maximum 10 Points (Use Score Provided By Economic Benefit Evaluation Team)	3
Consideration c -	Maximum 10 Points	2
Consideration d -	Maximum 5 Points	1
Consideration e -	Maximum 5 Points	5
	<b>Maximum Available Points = 40</b>	<b>13</b>

Fuel Logistics Rail Terminal Pumping Station for Ethanol

Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

## A-9 SORTING PROCESS TEMPLATE

On the following pages, a table is provided indicating which application questions relate to the identified consideration. A given question may relate to more than one consideration, and will appear under each relevant consideration.

Committee staff will be provided with an electronic document containing the following table for use during sorting.

Committee staff will record the results on the Review Matrix for presentation to the Committee during public meetings.

### Consideration (a) - Whether a proposed transportation project reduces transportation costs for Oregon businesses or improves access to jobs and sources of labor

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
* <b>Items D1 and D2 are evaluated together with a shared point value and            Items D8 and D9 are evaluated together with a shared point value</b> * <i>To determine the score of questions with a shared point value, score each question separately,            then select the highest of the two scores as the "appraiser's score."</i>				
D	1	Industrial or employments connections	2	* 3  2
D	2	Linking workers to jobs	0	
D	8	Efficiency and reliability of transportation	4	* 4  4
D	9	Safety	4	
D	10	Transportation Connections	2	3  2
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration "A"</b>			<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Point System for D1, D2, and D10:</b> 0 – No positive benefit; 1 – Potential positive benefit; 2 – Likely positive benefit; 3 – Significant positive benefit.				
<b>Point System for D8 and D9:</b> 0 – No positive impacts; 1 – Unlikely to make positive impacts; 2 – Potential positive impacts; 3 – Likely positive impacts; 4 – Significant positive impacts.				
<b>COMMENTS</b>				

Fuel Logistics Rail Terminal Pumping Station for Ethanol

Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

<b>COMMENTS (Continued):</b>		

**Consideration (c) - Whether a proposed transportation project is a critical link connecting elements of Oregon's transportation system that will measurably improve utilization and efficiency of the system.**

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
* Items D1 and D3 are evaluated together with a shared point value				
D	1	Industrial or employments connections	2	2
D	3	Linking populations to medical care, social services, or shopping	0	
* Items D8 and D9 are evaluated together with a shared point value				
D	8	Efficiency and reliability of transportation system	* 4	4
D	9	Safety		
D	10	Transportation Connections	3	2
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration "C"</b>			<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Point System for D1, D3, and D10:</b>		<b>Point System for D8 and D9:</b>		
0 – No positive benefit;		0 – No positive impact;		
1 – Potential positive benefit;		1 – Unlikely to make positive impact;		
2 – Likely positive benefits; and		2 – Potential positive benefits;		
3 – Significant positive benefits.		3 – Likely positive benefits; and		
		4 – Significant positive benefits		
* To determine the score of questions with a shared point value, score each question separately, then select the highest of the scores as the "appraiser's score."				
<b>COMMENT:</b>				

Fuel Logistics Rail Terminal Pumping Station for Ethanol

Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

**Consideration (d) - How much of the cost of a proposed transportation project can be borne by the applicant for the grant or loan from any source other than the Multimodal Transportation Fund.**

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
<b>Overmatch Criteria</b> ConnectOregon Total Project = Grant/Loan + 20% Match <b>Example:</b> \$100K + \$25K = \$125K = ConnectOregon Total Project				
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide &gt;20% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 30% match = \$125,000)	1	
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide 40% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 40% match = \$166,666)	2	
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide 60% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 60% match = \$175,000)	3	3
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide 80% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 40% match = \$200,000)	4	
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide &gt;80% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 90% match = \$211,111)	5	
		<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “D”</b>	5	3
<b>COMMENT:</b>				

Fuel Logistics Rail Terminal Pumping Station for Ethanol

Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

**Consideration (e) - Whether a proposed transportation project is ready for construction.**

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
<b>For this consideration, assume a grant execution date of September, 2011</b>				
C	4	Project is ready to start construction <b>6 months</b> after grant agreement execution.	5	5
C	4	Project is ready to start construction <b>12 months</b> after grant agreement execution.	2	
C	4	Project is ready to start construction <b>18 months</b> after grant agreement execution.	3	
		<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “E”</b>	5	

**FINAL POINT CALCULATION**

	AVAILABLE POINTS	TOTAL SCORE
Consideration a –	Maximum 10 Points	8
Consideration b –	Maximum 10 Points (Use Score Provided By Economic Benefit Evaluation Team)	6
Consideration c –	Maximum 10 Points	8
Consideration d –	Maximum 5 Points	3
Consideration e –	Maximum 5 Points	5
	<b>Maximum Available Points = 40</b>	<b>30</b>

Lane Transit District Gateway Park and Ride

Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

## A-9 SORTING PROCESS TEMPLATE

On the following pages, a table is provided indicating which application questions relate to the identified consideration. A given question may relate to more than one consideration, and will appear under each relevant consideration.

Committee staff will be provided with an electronic document containing the following table for use during sorting.

Committee staff will record the results on the Review Matrix for presentation to the Committee during public meetings.

### Consideration (a) - Whether a proposed transportation project reduces transportation costs for Oregon businesses or improves access to jobs and sources of labor

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
* <b>Items D1 and D2 are evaluated together with a shared point value and            Items D8 and D9 are evaluated together with a shared point value</b> * <i>To determine the score of questions with a shared point value, score each question separately,            then select the highest of the two scores as the "appraiser's score."</i>				
D	1	Industrial or employments connections	2	* 3 2
D	2	Linking workers to jobs	2	
D	8	Efficiency and reliability of transportation	3	* 4 3
D	9	Safety	3	
D	10	Transportation Connections	3	2
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration "A"</b>			<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Point System for D1, D2, and D10:</b> 0 – No positive benefit; 1 – Potential positive benefit; 2 – Likely positive benefit; 3 – Significant positive benefit.  <b>Point System for D8 and D9:</b> 0 – No positive impacts; 1 – Unlikely to make positive impacts; 2 – Potential positive impacts; 3 – Likely positive impacts; 4 – Significant positive impacts.				
<b>COMMENTS</b>				

Lane Transit District Gateway Park and Ride

Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

<b>COMMENTS (Continued):</b>		
------------------------------	--	--

**Consideration (c) - Whether a proposed transportation project is a critical link connecting elements of Oregon's transportation system that will measurably improve utilization and efficiency of the system.**

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
* Items D1 and D3 are evaluated together with a shared point value				
D	1	Industrial or employments connections	2	2
D	3	Linking populations to medical care, social services, or shopping	2	
* Items D8 and D9 are evaluated together with a shared point value				
D	8	Efficiency and reliability of transportation system	3	3
D	9	Safety	3	
D	10	Transportation Connections	3	3
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration "C"</b>			10	8
<b>Point System for D1, D3, and D10:</b>		<b>Point System for D8 and D9:</b>		
0 – No positive benefit;		0 – No positive impact;		
1 – Potential positive benefit;		1 – Unlikely to make positive impact;		
2 – Likely positive benefits; and		2 – Potential positive benefits;		
3 – Significant positive benefits.		3 – Likely positive benefits; and		
		4 – Significant positive benefits		
* To determine the score of questions with a shared point value, score each question separately, then select the highest of the scores as the "appraiser's score."				
<b>COMMENT:</b>				

Lane Transit District Gateway Park and Ride

Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

**Consideration (d) - How much of the cost of a proposed transportation project can be borne by the applicant for the grant or loan from any source other than the Multimodal Transportation Fund.**

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
<b>Overmatch Criteria</b> ConnectOregon Total Project = Grant/Loan + 20% Match <b>Example:</b> \$100K + \$25K = \$125K = <i>ConnectOregon</i> Total Project				
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide &gt;20% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 30% match = \$125,000)	<b>1</b>	
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide 40% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 40% match = \$166,666)	<b>2</b>	
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide 60% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 60% match = \$175,000)	<b>3</b>	
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide 80% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 40% match = \$200,000)	<b>4</b>	
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide &gt;80% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 90% match = \$211,111)	<b>5</b>	
		<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “D”</b>	<b>5</b>	<i>0</i>
<b>COMMENT:</b>				

Lane Transit District Gateway Park and Ride

Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

**Consideration (e) - Whether a proposed transportation project is ready for construction.**

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
<b>For this consideration, assume a grant execution date of September, 2011</b>				
C	4	Project is ready to start construction <b>6 months</b> after grant agreement execution.	5	5
C	4	Project is ready to start construction <b>12 months</b> after grant agreement execution.	2	
C	4	Project is ready to start construction <b>18 months</b> after grant agreement execution.	3	
		<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “E”</b>	5	5

**FINAL POINT CALCULATION**

	AVAILABLE POINTS	TOTAL SCORE
Consideration a –	Maximum 10 Points	7
Consideration b –	Maximum 10 Points (Use Score Provided By Economic Benefit Evaluation Team)	6
Consideration c –	Maximum 10 Points	8
Consideration d –	Maximum 5 Points	0
Consideration e –	Maximum 5 Points	5
	<b>Maximum Available Points = 40</b>	<b>26</b>

Pacific Recycling Inc (PRI) Reload and Dismantle Facility

Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

## A-9 SORTING PROCESS TEMPLATE

On the following pages, a table is provided indicating which application questions relate to the identified consideration. A given question may relate to more than one consideration, and will appear under each relevant consideration.

Committee staff will be provided with an electronic document containing the following table for use during sorting.

Committee staff will record the results on the Review Matrix for presentation to the Committee during public meetings.

### Consideration (a) - Whether a proposed transportation project reduces transportation costs for Oregon businesses or improves access to jobs and sources of labor

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
<p><b>* Items D1 and D2 are evaluated together with a shared point value and Items D8 and D9 are evaluated together with a shared point value</b></p> <p><i>* To determine the score of questions with a shared point value, score each question separately, then select the highest of the two scores as the "appraiser's score."</i></p>				
D	1	Industrial or employments connections	3	* 3 3
D	2	Linking workers to jobs		
D	8	Efficiency and reliability of transportation	4	* 4 4
D	9	Safety	3	
D	10	Transportation Connections	3	3
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS –</b>				
<b>Consideration "A"</b>			10	10
<p><b>Point System for D1, D2, and D10:</b>            0 – No positive benefit;            1 – Potential positive benefit;            2 – Likely positive benefit;            3 – Significant positive benefit.</p> <p><b>Point System for D8 and D9:</b>            0 – No positive impacts;            1 – Unlikely to make positive impacts;            2 – Potential positive impacts;            3 – Likely positive impacts;            4 – Significant positive impacts.</p>				
<b>COMMENTS</b>				

Pacific Recycling Inc (PRI) Reload and Dismantle Facility

Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

<b>COMMENTS (Continued):</b>		

**Consideration (c) - Whether a proposed transportation project is a critical link connecting elements of Oregon’s transportation system that will measurably improve utilization and efficiency of the system.**

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser’s Score
* Items D1 and D3 are evaluated together with a shared point value				
D	1	Industrial or employments connections	* 3	3
D	3	Linking populations to medical care, social services, or shopping		
* Items D8 and D9 are evaluated together with a shared point value				
D	8	Efficiency and reliability of transportation system	* 4	4
D	9	Safety		
D	10	Transportation Connections	3	3
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “C”</b>			<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Point System for D1, D3, and D10:</b>		<b>Point System for D8 and D9:</b>		
0 – No positive benefit;		0 – No positive impact;		
1 – Potential positive benefit;		1 – Unlikely to make positive impact;		
2 – Likely positive benefits; and		2 – Potential positive benefits;		
3 – Significant positive benefits.		3 – Likely positive benefits; and		
		4 – Significant positive benefits		
* To determine the score of questions with a shared point value, score each question separately, then select the highest of the scores as the “appraiser’s score.”				
<b>COMMENT:</b>				

Pacific Recycling Inc (PRI) Reload and Dismantle Facility

Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

**Consideration (d) - How much of the cost of a proposed transportation project can be borne by the applicant for the grant or loan from any source other than the Multimodal Transportation Fund.**

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
<b>Overmatch Criteria</b> <i>ConnectOregon</i> Total Project = Grant/Loan + 20% Match <b>Example:</b> \$100K + \$25K = \$125K = <i>ConnectOregon</i> Total Project				
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide &gt;20% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 30% match = \$125,000)	1	1
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide 40% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 40% match = \$166,666)	2	
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide 60% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 60% match = \$175,000)	3	
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide 80% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 40% match = \$200,000)	4	
C	1.d.	<b>Applicant will provide &gt;80% Overmatch</b> (\$100K + 90% match = \$211,111)	5	
		<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “D”</b>	5	1
<b>COMMENT:</b>				

Pacific Recycling Inc (PRI) Reload and Dismantle Facility

Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

**Consideration (e) - Whether a proposed transportation project is ready for construction.**

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
<b>For this consideration, assume a grant execution date of September, 2011</b>				
C	4	Project is ready to start construction <b>6 months</b> after grant agreement execution.	5	5
C	4	Project is ready to start construction <b>12 months</b> after grant agreement execution.	2	
C	4	Project is ready to start construction <b>18 months</b> after grant agreement execution.	3	
		<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “E”</b>	5	5

**FINAL POINT CALCULATION**

	AVAILABLE POINTS	TOTAL SCORE
Consideration a –	Maximum 10 Points	10
Consideration b –	Maximum 10 Points (Use Score Provided By Economic Benefit Evaluation Team)	4
Consideration c –	Maximum 10 Points	10
Consideration d –	Maximum 5 Points	1
Consideration e –	Maximum 5 Points	5
	<b>Maximum Available Points = 40</b>	<b>30</b>

Port of Siuslaw  
 Wharf Repair and Waterfront Renovation

Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

## A-9 SORTING PROCESS TEMPLATE

On the following pages, a table is provided indicating which application questions relate to the identified consideration. A given question may relate to more than one consideration, and will appear under each relevant consideration.

Committee staff will be provided with an electronic document containing the following table for use during sorting.

Committee staff will record the results on the Review Matrix for presentation to the Committee during public meetings.

### Consideration (a) - Whether a proposed transportation project reduces transportation costs for Oregon businesses or improves access to jobs and sources of labor

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
* Items D1 and D2 are evaluated together with a shared point value and Items D8 and D9 are evaluated together with a shared point value * To determine the score of questions with a shared point value, score each question separately, then select the highest of the two scores as the "appraiser's score."				
D	1	Industrial or employments connections	3	3
D	2	Linking workers to jobs	3	
D	8	Efficiency and reliability of transportation	4	4
D	9	Safety	4	
D	10	Transportation Connections	3	3
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS –</b>				
<b>Consideration "A"</b>			<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Point System for D1, D2, and D10:</b> 0 – No positive benefit; 1 – Potential positive benefit; 2 – Likely positive benefit; 3 – Significant positive benefit.				
<b>Point System for D8 and D9:</b> 0 – No positive impacts; 1 – Unlikely to make positive impacts; 2 – Potential positive impacts; 3 – Likely positive impacts; 4 – Significant positive impacts.				
<b>COMMENTS</b>				

Port of Siuslaw  
 Wharf Repair and Waterfront Renovation

Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

<b>COMMENTS (Continued):</b>		
------------------------------	--	--

**Consideration (c) - Whether a proposed transportation project is a critical link connecting elements of Oregon's transportation system that will measurably improve utilization and efficiency of the system.**

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
* Items D1 and D3 are evaluated together with a shared point value				
D	1	Industrial or employments connections	* 3	3
D	3	Linking populations to medical care, social services, or shopping		
* Items D8 and D9 are evaluated together with a shared point value				
D	8	Efficiency and reliability of transportation system	* 4	4
D	9	Safety		
D	10	Transportation Connections	3	3
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration "C"</b>			<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Point System for D1, D3, and D10:</b>		<b>Point System for D8 and D9:</b>		
0 – No positive benefit;		0 – No positive impact;		
1 – Potential positive benefit;		1 – Unlikely to make positive impact;		
2 – Likely positive benefits; and		2 – Potential positive benefits;		
3 – Significant positive benefits.		3 – Likely positive benefits; and		
		4 – Significant positive benefits		
* To determine the score of questions with a shared point value, score each question separately, then select the highest of the scores as the "appraiser's score."				
<b>COMMENT:</b>				

Port of Siuslaw  
 Wharf Repair and Waterfront Renovation

Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

**Consideration (d) - How much of the cost of a proposed transportation project can be borne by the applicant for the grant or loan from any source other than the Multimodal Transportation Fund.**

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
<b>Overmatch Criteria</b> ConnectOregon Total Project = Grant/Loan + 20% Match Example: \$100K + \$25K = \$125K = ConnectOregon Total Project				
C	1.d.	Applicant will provide >20% Overmatch (\$100K + 30% match = \$125,000)	1	
C	1.d.	Applicant will provide 40% Overmatch (\$100K + 40% match = \$166,666)	2	
C	1.d.	Applicant will provide 60% Overmatch (\$100K + 60% match = \$175,000)	3	
C	1.d.	Applicant will provide 80% Overmatch (\$100K + 40% match = \$200,000)	4	
C	1.d.	Applicant will provide >80% Overmatch (\$100K + 90% match = \$211,111)	5	
		<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “D”</b>	5	0
<b>COMMENT:</b>				

Port of Siuslaw  
 Wharf Repair and Waterfront Renovation

Lane County & ODOT Staff Scoring  
 March 24, 2010

**Consideration (e) - Whether a proposed transportation project is ready for construction.**

Part	Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
<b>For this consideration, assume a grant execution date of September, 2011</b>				
C	4	Project is ready to start construction <b>6 months</b> after grant agreement execution.	5	5
C	4	Project is ready to start construction <b>12 months</b> after grant agreement execution.	2	
C	4	Project is ready to start construction <b>18 months</b> after grant agreement execution.	3	
		<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “E”</b>	5	5

**FINAL POINT CALCULATION**

	AVAILABLE POINTS	TOTAL SCORE
Consideration a –	Maximum 10 Points	10
Consideration b –	Maximum 10 Points (Use Score Provided By Economic Benefit Evaluation Team)	9
Consideration c –	Maximum 10 Points	10
Consideration d –	Maximum 5 Points	0
Consideration e –	Maximum 5 Points	5
<b>Maximum Available Points = 40</b>		34